## **Shared Service model with Cheshire West and Chester Council**

## **Cost savings**

- Efficiency time and resources through eliminating duplication of activity and sharing costs across organisations
- Agility the dedicated unit is able to respond quickly to changes and new demands as it is semi-autonomous
- Improving overall service opportunity to share learning technology and innovation.

## **Potential Business Models**

Exploration focused upon the most appropriate business models.

**Model 1** – Would entail the established CW&C Trading Company to be the prime contractor holder and to then sub contract to Vivo – Wirral/Cheshire

Governance would involve WMBC setting up a board to run the sub company in addition to representation on the Trading Company board

**Advantages** – Ability to have decision making focus on Wirral services – reassurance for citizens and politicians

**Model 2** - Wirral 'joins' CW&C Trading Company as a shareholder.

**Advantages** – Shared Service with established company/Economies of scale

**Disadvantages -** Disparity of operational balance would mean that Wirral would be a minority holder/decision maker

Model 1 was deemed to be the preferred business model between the two available. The evaluation of the shared services model in relation to feasibility showed that it was a potential option that could be pursued further

The Shared services option met the criteria for the business case:

- Finance could achieve savings required
- Strategic Direction enables shift from provider to commissioner
- Cost/benefits shared cost savings/efficiencies
- Legal Implications TUPE less disruptive option for staff
- Service delivery would enable improvements to service which leads to better outcomes for people
- Sustainability could enable a stronger future together for both councils